

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)  
**Subject:** D 12 Submission / written  
**Date:** 07 June 2021 18:03:18

---

Richard Reeves AP EI1N AFP 133 / IP 2002765

Please see in attachments to follow, film of the Applicants construction teams and partners on plot 11, including noise, air pollution, and highly intrusive disturbance to vulnerable livestock and protected flora and fauna. In spite of all assertions and reassurances to the contrary, the work is routinely noisy, pollutant, intrusive, disruptive, and carried out careless of all or any consequences to both surrounding plants, animals, and people. It is conclusive proof, if any more were needed, of the standard modus operandi of the Applicants: pour a torrent of empty mollification and quibbling legalese into the ears of any who may be forced to listen, then simply ignore any agreements and promises and do whatever they like, at any cost to local people and the environment we inhabit and care for. It is amoral thuggery, pure and simple, with one motive, and one motive only: financial gain.

And this is not merely the opinion of a concerned AP / IP - it is the open admission of the Applicant themselves, expressed at every stage of these examinations. Reason for Landfall siting? - it's cheaper. Reason for cable-corridor route? - it's cheaper. Reason for rejecting available Brownfield sites? - it's cheaper. As I and many others have pointed out on many occasions, that decreased costs and increased profit should be the "compelling case" for destruction of a legally protected AONB cannot possibly be accepted by the Inspectorate, and we urge the Inspectorate in the most direct terms we can within the norms of mutual respect to look again at this utterly unacceptable breach of the most basic and vital regulations for use of an AONB. Surely, the only possible reasonable judgement must be to find the Applicants plans can not be consented.

The east coast, against the clearly expressed will of its people, it's MP, the vast majority of its statutory bodies, councils, and key organisations dedicated to the preservation of this Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, has been scarred with the utilitarian nickname, The Energy Coast - as if our only value is as a landing point for the multiple projects now seeking to take advantage of the current governmental Green Energy overview. These companies, Scottish Power Renewables, as it has relatively recently styled itself, being only one of an approaching Armada of profiteers, are not in any way part of a national Green Energy initiative or strategy, cohesively designed for the benefit of current and future generations of UK citizens. Rather, the company, in service to its foreign owners and backers, seeks, and is constituted, only for the purpose of generating profit - at any cost. In nearby Theberton, during the last week or so, a new name is being blazoned on banners and posters: The Chaos Coast. And chaos it will be - the ham-fisted works pictured being a tiny fraction of the destruction to come if this amoral land-and-profit-grab somehow is granted consent.

Please do not allow this organisation to set the example for the swarm of incoming private profiteers to follow. For future Green Energy management our country needs strategy, not licenced pillage.

I would like to offer my support, thanks, and admiration to all the organisations and individuals who have joined together to oppose this reckless, amoral, and unprofessionally managed project. From delivering response forms to entirely wrong names and addresses, to live meetings with catastrophically malfunctioning tech, to presentations and ISHs where the Applicants' own officers and legal teams contradict each other, to paid-for surveys that present results tailored to fit pre-decided conclusions, to multiple gagging clauses and harassment of vulnerable local inhabitants, the Applicants have shown nothing but open contempt for people, the environment, and our society as a whole.

Many thanks to the Inspectorate for all their work during this extended process. Please, for the greater good of our society and country, do not consent this project.

Kind regards  
Richard Reeves.

Sent from my iPhone